216 R. M. Frazer

lautete, Minos sei als Vertrauter des Zeus neun Jahre lang König gewesen 46). Das kann nach unserer Kontextregel nicht richtig sein, weil dann an Stelle des Imperfektes  $\beta a\sigma i\lambda \epsilon v\epsilon$  die Aoristform stehen müßte. Denn ein Inzidenzschema, welches neben einer Zeitdauerbestimmung "neun Jahre lang" ein Imperfekt bedingen würde, ist in den benachbarten Versen nicht gegeben.

Kurioserweise hat u.a. das Scholion V z.St.<sup>47</sup>) bei seiner (sachlich unzutreffenden) Erläuterung den erforderlichen Aorist eingesetzt:  $\delta \tau \iota \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} a \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \ \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \dot{\iota} \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \ \delta \mu \iota \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma \ \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma \ \tau o \tilde{\nu} \ \Delta \iota \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$ . Eine inhaltlich falsche Auffassung wird also hier in angemessener Ausdrucksweise vorgetragen bzw. durch die sprachliche Modifikation gegenüber der zu kommentierenden Vorlage überhaupt erst möglich.

## Nestor's Generations, Iliad I. 250-2

By R. M. Frazer, New Orleans

τῷ δ' ἤδη δύο μὲν γενεαὶ μερόπων ἀνθρώπων ἐφθίαθ', οἱ οἱ πρόσθεν ἅμα τράφεν ἦδὲ γένοντο ἐν Πύλῳ ἦγαθέη, μετὰ δὲ τριτάτοισιν ἄνασσεν.

The translation of the above verses by Lang, Leaf and Meyers is as follows:

Two generations of mortal men already had he [Nestor] seen perish, that had been of old time born and nurtured with him in goodly Pylos, and he was king among the third.

This translation takes the  $\delta\mu\alpha$  and the oi of line 251 together: "with him." In doing so it agrees with all the modern commentaries and dictionaries I have examined, 1) and Od. IV. 723 might be cited in support of this construction:  $\mu oi \delta\mu o\tilde{v} \tau \rho \delta \phi e v \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{e} \gamma \dot{e} v o v \tau o$  (the  $\delta\mu o\tilde{v}$  must be taken with  $\mu oi$ ; Helen is here speaking of the women who were born and nurtured with her). We are thus however presented with the problem that the two earlier generations are described as both being born and nurtured along with Nestor, that is, at the same time that Nestor was born and nurtured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>) schol. V τ 179; Ap. Soph. p. 68 Bekker s.v. ἐννέωροι; EM p. 343, 26f.; Eustath. 1861, 31.

<sup>47)</sup> Ebenso EM a.O.; Eustath. a.O. (Anm. 46).

<sup>1)</sup> For example, LfgrE 598. 30ff.

But this of course is impossible. One man can not be born and nurtured at the same time as two different generations. It is this difficulty which presumably has led Professor Lattimore to make the following interpretative translation:

In his time two generations of mortal men had perished, those who had grown up with him and they who had been born to these in sacred Pylos, and he was king in the third age.

Because of the problem of meaning that arises if we take  $\[Tilde{a}\mu\alpha\]$  with of I think it is better to take it with  $\[Tilde{\eta}\delta\epsilon\]$  to mean "both . . . and" and translate  $\[Tilde{a}\mu\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\]$  is the equivalent of the  $\[Tilde{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\]$  at  $\[Tilde{Od}\]$  XIV. 201:  $\[Tilde{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  and that he easily adapted it to suit his metre by changing  $\[Tilde{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\]$  to  $\[Tilde{a}\mu\alpha\]$ . I have not been able to find other examples of  $\[Tilde{a}\mu\alpha\]$  . . .  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  is the very similar  $\[Tilde{a}\mu\alpha\]$  . . .  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  as at  $\[Tilde{I}\]$  . I. 343:  $\[Tilde{u}\mu\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  and  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  and  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  and  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$   $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon\alpha\]$  and  $\[Tilde{\tau}\epsilon$ 

If  $\[ \tilde{a}\mu a \]$  and  $\[ \tilde{\eta}\delta \epsilon \]$  go together, the  $\[ oi \]$  in line 251 must be treated as a dative of interest. We can compare the  $\[ oi \]$  of  $\[ II. \]$  XIII. 439 f.:  $\[ \chi \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu a \] / \chi \acute{a} \lambda \varkappa \epsilon \sigma \nu$ ,  $\[ \tilde{o} \varsigma \]$  of  $\[ \pi \varrho \acute{o} \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \nu \]$  is spatial). As a dative of interest the  $\[ oi \]$  of line 251 which refers to Nestor echoes the  $\[ \tau \tilde{\varphi} \]$  at the beginning of the preceding line which is also a dative of interest referring to Nestor.

I conclude that we should translate  $\Pi$ . I. 250–2 something like this:

For him (as king?) two generations of mortal men had already perished, who for him (as king?) in the earlier time were nurtured and born in holy Pylos, and he was king among the third.

This interpretation is not a new one. Eustathius says of the second generation that they were both nurtured and born under Nestor in the same way as those before them: oi  $\dot{\epsilon}nlightarrow$   $\tau oi$   $\tau oi$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) The formula τράφεν ἢδὲ γένοντο also appears at Od. X. 417: ἴνα τε τράφεν ἢδὲ γένοντο. The τε here is usually taken with ἴνα, but I think it better to take it with ἢδέ.

<sup>3)</sup> Eustath. on Il. I. 250, p. 97. 22f.

218

At Od. III. 245 Nestor is said to have been king over three generations of men:  $\tau\varrho\dot{\iota}\varsigma$   $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\varrho$   $\delta\acute{\eta}$   $\mu\acute{\iota}\nu$   $\varphi\alpha\sigma\iota\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\xi\alpha\sigma\vartheta\alpha\iota$   $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\delta}\varrho\tilde{\omega}\nu$ . Perhaps Homer is here interpreting himself. Eustathius believes that the Poet is briefly summarizing at Od. III. 245 what he has stated at greater length in  $\Pi$ . I. 250–2. At any rate Od. III. 245 seems to me to show the most natural understanding of  $\Pi$ . I. 250–2, as we have construed it. 5)

## The Senate and the Essence: γερουσία and οὐσία

By N. E. COLLINGE, Toronto

The council of elders is a noteworthy component of the Lycurgean constitution at Sparta, and there is no need here to rehearse modern discussions of it. By ancient writers subsequent to Xenophon it is called γερουσία, as is the ostensibly equivalent body in other states, Carthage, Rome, the Sanhedrin. It is obvious that the original Laconian form of the name, as long as the dialect retains any individuality, is something else—not merely in the sense that there, as elsewhere, the more common expression was οἱ γέροντες or its equivalent, but in that (for example) Plutarch's use of γερουσία, in speaking of the 'great rhetra' (or in declaring that Lycurgus himself used this name for the Spartan council from the start),1) is a reflex of atticized koine and nothing more.2) In Attic itself γερουσία occurs no earlier than Rhesus; in Laconian it occurs nowhere in any form, except in the attestations of outsiders like Aristophanes, Xenophon and ultimately Hesychius. It is likely that a whole series of oddities lies in wait for the Laconian etymologist.

To begin with, Hesychius's relevant entry, unpunctuated so as not to prejudice the argument, is rather curious: 3) γερωνία γεροντία

<sup>4)</sup> Eustath. on  $\Pi$ . I. 250, p. 96. 44f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>) I wish to thank Leslie Bolding, Nell Duncan and Jane Ritter for discussing II. I. 250–252 with me.

<sup>1)</sup> Plutarch, Lycurgus 5, 6; Moralia 789.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) As with Lysimachus's council at Ephesus and its offspring at Athens (saec. 2–3 A. D.), the  $i\epsilon\rho\dot{a}$   $\gamma\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{a}$ . See CR 1. 1887. 43; 56. 1942. 86; Oliver, 1941.

<sup>3)</sup> Latte, in his 1953 edition (no. 449), simply brackets γερωνία and (after Ahrens) καὶ Λακεδαιμονίοις, thus reducing the entry to a presentation of the